

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/799,455	03/12/2004	Sean E. Purcell	MS308121.1/MSFTP644US	7697
27195 7590 AMIN, TUROCY & CALVIN, LLP 127 Public Square 57th Floor, Key Tower CLEVELAND, OH 44114			EXAMINER	
			MAI, KEVIN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2456	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/799,455	PURCELL ET AL.				
morron commany	Examiner	Art Unit				
	KEVIN S. MAI	2456				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>KEVIN S. MAI</u> .	(3) Keith Drabek reg no. 60757.					
(2) Ashok B. Patel.	(4)					
Date of Interview: 04 February 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: 2005/0228899 Wendkos and 2005/0097174 Daniell.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \square was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed proposed amendments with applicant</u> . Examiner supplied his opinion on the proposed amendments. No agreement was reached.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
		95				
/Ashok B. Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2456	Property					